The Real-World Value of Medically Integrated Dispensing

What is Medically Integrated Dispensing (MID)?

Integration of prescriptions by processing them at a primarily onsite physician dispensing practice in a healthcare system, such as an oncology clinic

Dispensing team has a holistic view of the health record to review patients' lab results and current and previous medications, verify insurance coverage, which allows personalized follows in

Direct communication between prescribing physician and dispensing team via face-to-face interaction

Medically Integrated Dispensing - Value²

True integration of pharmacy/medical care

· Care plan compliance



Medical integration has been shown to improve quality of care and reduces costs for oncology, allowing for a proactive interaction between patients and the dispensing team

Prescriber

- MIDs do not use automated refill or autoship, as MID dispenses on current status of patient instead of prev - In-office dispensing of oral chemotherapy provided
 >\$1,000,000 in cost avoidance annually in a group of five
 outpatient cancer centers?



Patient

Hematology-Oncology Associates of CNY

(HOA-CNY)?3

Who is Hematology-Oncology

Associates of Central New York

Private practice established in 1982 with four locations in New York state

Multi-disciplined staff of clinicians, nurse practitioners,

physician assistants, pharmacists, physical therapists, radiology technicians, and social workers

Certified for quality by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, for quality, as an Oncology Medical Home, and as a specialty pharmacy with

- Patient has immediate access to dispensing team which can coordinate medication changes
- Less overfilling of prescription leads to less confusion for managing excess medication

Pharmacist/dispensing team

- MID allows pharmacists to evaluate issues that could affect adherence, such as adverse events reported by the patien need for financial assistance, and ensuring patient's understanding of the treatment regimen's

A real-world patient-focused study: Medically Integrated Dispensing at HOA-CNY



Study objective:

Demonstrate the value of medically integrating dispensing, specifically on adherence to orally red oncolytic therapies for three cancer types



Outcomes

Adherence was measured as adjusted medication sion ratio (MPR):

- MPR measures the number of days a patient has medication on hand; however, this can be skewed if the patient is obtaining early refills
- Adherence was measured over total follow up period for each drug among those with ≥2 prescription Sensitivity analyses was conducted using up to six and 12 months of follow up





Data source:

InfoDive Rx claims data merged from the HOA-CNY practice from July 2016-November 2020

InfoDive'



Statistical comparison percent difference:

(standardized mean difference) was used to assess differences between the MID and non-MID populations

 A percent difference (PD) greater than 20 percent indicated a meaningful difference between groups



Study design:

Retrospective study of patients aged ≥18-years

- Ibrance (palbociclib) for breast cancer
- · Imbruvica (ibrutinib) for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)
- · Xtandi (enzalutamide) or Zytiga (abiraterone acetate) for prostate cance



Medically

integrated (MID): Received all oral oncolytic therapies through HOA-CNY

Not medically integrated (non-MID); Received ≥1 Rx for oral

oncolytic therapy outside of HOA-CNY nonintegrated physician dispensing practice

Study results Imbruvica (chronic leukemia) (n=43) Ibrance (breast cancer)





192 (±133)

 $79 (SD \pm 7)$









438 (±439)



Zytiga (prostate cancer) 288 (±244)



 $78 (SD \pm 7)$

- · The mean age range for all groups was 71- to 79-years-of-age · Most patients receiving librance were female; for Imbruvica, 35 percent of the patients were female
- Follow up time ranged from ~6-months (192 days) for Xtandi to 16-months (483 days) for Imbruvica
- Out of 138 total patients, 134 had ≥2 prescriptions and were included in the adherence calculation
- · Patients were more adherent in the MID group vs the non-MID group
- -The sensitivity analyses showed similar results with the MID group havingsimilar or better adherence vs thenon-MID group
- The adherence results in the MID group suggest the benefits of MID for oncology patients, though larger studies with more sites are needed to confirm this result.

Adherence was meaningfully higher in the MID group vs the non-MID group



